

Multiple Potts Models Coupled to Two-Dimensional Quantum Gravity.

C.F. Baillie

Physics Dept.
University of Colorado
Boulder, CO 80309, USA

and

D.A. Johnston

Dept. of Mathematics
Heriot-Watt University
Riccarton
Edinburgh, EH14 4AS, Scotland

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We perform Monte Carlo simulations using the Wolff cluster algorithm of *multiple* $q = 2, 3, 4$ state Potts models on dynamical phi-cubed graphs of spherical topology in order to investigate the $c > 1$ region of two-dimensional quantum gravity. Contrary to naive expectation we find no obvious signs of pathological behaviour for $c > 1$. We discuss the results in the light of suggestions that have been made for a modified DDK ansatz for $c > 1$.

Submitted to Phys. Lett. B

1 Introduction

In a recent paper [1], we carried out numerical simulations of the $q = 2$ (Ising) and $q = 3, 4, 10$ state Potts models on dynamical phi-cubed graphs of spherical topology in order to see if the predictions of KPZ [2] and DDK [3] for the critical exponents of the $q = 2, 3, 4$ models were in agreement with “experiment”. We found that the predicted and measured exponents were in quite good agreement for these models and that the $q = 10$ Potts model still displayed a first order transition on the dynamical phi-cubed graph as it did on a fixed lattice. We also explored the intrinsic geometry of the graphs in the simulation, finding a linear relation between the number of rings of length three at the critical temperature and the central charge, and that the peak in the ring distribution moved towards β_c as q increased¹. In the current paper we employ the same methods to explore *multiple* copies of $q = 2, 3, 4$ state Potts models on dynamical phi-cubed graphs. We shall simulate 2, 4, 8 and 16 Ising models, 5 and 10 $q = 3$ Potts models, and 2, 4 and 8 $q = 4$ Potts models all of which have, at least naively, $c \geq 1$.

The formulae of KPZ/DDK give nonsensical results for $c > 1$, suggesting there is some sort of phase transition at $c = 1$. The results of simulations of dynamically triangulated random surfaces, where the phi-cubed graphs or their dual triangulations are embedded in $d \geq 3$ ($c = d$ for the Polyakov action for a surface) dimensions seem to support this view since they give rise to very crumpled and spiky surfaces with no continuum limit [4]. We can include an extrinsic curvature squared [5], or mean curvature [6], term in addition to the Polyakov action which may cure these ills. There have been suggestions [7] that there is a liberation of curvature singularities at $c = 1$ when such a term is not included which give rise to the spikiness observed in simulations. As this is essentially an intrinsic phenomenon we would expect to see some sort of pathology for *any* model on a dynamical triangulation (or its dual) whose naive continuum limit, if it existed at all, had $c > 1$. The simplest sort of matter we can put on a dynamical graph is a spin variable, as in the various Potts models, and we can arrange $c \geq 1$ by taking multiple copies. The copies interact with each other only through the graph. The simplicity of the matter allows us to explore quite large graphs by comparison with simulations of embedded surfaces. As the graphs are no longer embedded the pathology, if any, cannot manifest itself as “crumpling”. The object of the simulations described in this paper is to see if things go wrong in some other manner for models with c (naively) > 1 .

The partition function we are simulating is that for multiple Potts models on dynamical random graphs with a fixed number of nodes N [8] and is given by

$$Z_N = \sum_{G^{(N)}} \sum_{\sigma} \exp \left(-\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i,j=1}^N G_{ij}^{(N)} \delta(\sigma_i^{\alpha} \sigma_j^{\alpha}) \right) \quad (1)$$

where $G^{(N)}$ is the adjacency matrix of the phi-cubed graph, δ is a Kronecker delta, β is the inverse temperature $1/T$ and the sum over α is a sum over the different copies of the Potts model. We have, in general, q species of spin taking the values $0, 1, \dots, q-1$. A single Ising model has been simulated on both dynamical triangulations [9],[10] and phi-cubed graphs [11] and satisfactory agreement between the measured and theoretical values of the critical exponents found. The theoretical values come from both the work in [2],[3], as outlined below, and the exact solution [12]. If we denote the critical temperature for a continuous spin-ordering phase transition by T_c and the reduced temperature $|T - T_c|/T_c$ by t then the critical exponents $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \nu, \delta, \eta$ can be defined in the standard manner as $t \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} C &\simeq t^{-\alpha} & ; & & M &\simeq t^{\beta}, T < T_c \\ \chi &\simeq t^{-\gamma} & ; & & \xi &\simeq t^{-\nu} \\ M(H, t=0) &\simeq H^{1/\delta}, H \rightarrow 0 \\ < M(x)M(y) > &\simeq \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d-2+\eta}}, t=0 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where C is the specific heat, M is the magnetization, χ is the susceptibility, ξ is the correlation length and H is an external field. In theories without gravity it is possible to calculate α and β using the conformal

¹In [1] we stated that the peak moved towards β_c as c increased, as c increases with q for the single Potts models. We shall see in this paper that this is not strictly accurate for multiple Potts models.

weights of the energy density operator and spin operator (for a review see [13]). Given these we can now use the various scaling relations [14]

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= 2 - \nu d \\ \beta &= \frac{\nu}{2}(d - 2 + \eta) \\ \gamma &= \nu(2 - \eta) \\ \delta &= \frac{d + 2 - \eta}{d - 2 + \eta}\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

to obtain the other exponents.

Miraculously, this approach carries over directly when one considers the same theories coupled to gravity (i.e. on dynamical meshes): the modified conformal weights Δ for the energy and spin operators when coupled to gravity are given in [2],[3] in terms of the original conformal weights Δ_0 by

$$\Delta - \Delta_0 = -\frac{\xi^2}{2}\Delta(\Delta - 1),\tag{4}$$

where

$$\xi = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}(\sqrt{25 - c} - \sqrt{1 - c}),\tag{5}$$

and these simply replace the standard values in the calculation of the critical exponents α and β . The one exact solution that is currently available, for the Ising model on a dynamical mesh, has exponents which satisfy the scaling relations so we assume their validity to derive the other exponents from these two.

In [1] we found that there was satisfactory agreement with the results of [2],[3] for single copies of the $q = 3, 4$ state Potts models and that the $q = 10$ Potts models had a first order transition. For single Potts models this suggests the following appealing picture: for $q \leq 4$ they display a continuous phase transition, which allows us to define a continuum limit, whereas the $q > 4$ models, as on fixed lattices, have first order transitions with no continuum limit and associated conformal field theory. It is also tempting to speculate that the difficulties that appear in the continuum formalism for $c > 1$ may be due to a similar effect, with multiple copies of the Potts models having first order transitions when $c > 1$. In what follows we shall see that the simulations fail to oblige our expectations.

2 Spin Model Properties

We perform a microcanonical (fixed number of nodes) Monte Carlo simulation on phi-cubed graphs with $N = 50, 100, 200, 300, 500, 1000$ and 2000 nodes at various values of β between 0.1 and 1.5 . The Monte Carlo update consists of two parts: one for the spin model and one for the graph. For the Potts model we use a cluster update algorithm since it suffers from much less critical slowing down than the standard Metropolis algorithm. There are two popular cluster algorithm implementations - Wolff [15] and Swendsen-Wang [16]. As they are equivalent for the usual two-dimensional $q = 2, 3$ Potts models we use Wolff's variant because it is computationally faster. For the graph update we use the Metropolis algorithm with the standard "flip" move. As we are working with phi-cubed graphs the detailed balance condition involves checking that the rings at either ends of the link being flipped have no links in common. This check also eliminates all graphs containing tadpoles or self-energies. After each Potts model update sweep we randomly pick *NFLIP* links one after another and try to flip them. After testing various values of *NFLIP* to ensure that there were enough flips to make the graph dynamical on the time scale of the Potts model updates we set *NFLIP* = N for all the simulations.

Just as in our simulations of single Potts models we measure all the standard thermodynamic quantities for the spin model: energy E , specific heat C , magnetization M , susceptibility χ and correlation length ξ ; and several properties of the graph: acceptance rates for flips, distribution of ring lengths and internal fractal dimension d . To determine ν (actually νd) and β_c separately, instead of from the usual three-parameter finite-size scaling fit, for example $\xi = \xi_0(|T - T_c|/T_c)^{-\nu}$, we used Binder's cumulant [17]. This is done as follows. Binder's cumulant U_N on graph with N nodes is defined as

$$U_N = 1 - \frac{\langle M^4 \rangle}{3 \langle M^2 \rangle^2},\tag{6}$$

where $\langle M^4 \rangle$ is the average of the fourth power of the magnetization and $\langle M^2 \rangle$ is the average of its square. For a normal temperature-driven continuous phase transition $U_N \rightarrow 0$ for $T > T_c$ because M is gaussian distributed about 0 at high temperature, and $U_N \rightarrow \frac{2}{3}$ for $T < T_c$ because a spontaneous magnetization M_{sp} develops in the low temperature phase. At $T = T_c$, U_N has a non-trivial value which scales with N according to

$$U_N \simeq tN^{\frac{1}{\nu d}}. \quad (7)$$

Therefore the slope of U_N with respect to T (or β) at T_c gives $\frac{1}{\nu d}$. This is not much use as it stands since it involves knowledge of T_c , but the *maximum* value of the slope scales in the same way, so we can extract νd from

$$\max\left(\frac{dU_N}{d\beta}\right) \simeq N^{\frac{1}{\nu d}}. \quad (8)$$

We show the results of doing this in Figs. 1a,b,c for the $q = 2, 3, 4$ state Potts models respectively. From the figures it is clear that there is little variation in the values of νd for a given q as the number of copies of the spin model is increased. We therefore use a *weighted average* νd for each q in what follows: 3.6(4) for $q = 2$, 2.9(4) for $q = 3$, and 2.8(2) for $q = 4$.

We now make use of these values of νd in the standard finite-size scaling relation (with L replaced by $N^{\frac{1}{\nu d}}$ since we do not know d a priori)

$$|\beta_c^N - \beta_c^\infty| \simeq N^{-\frac{1}{\nu d}} \quad (9)$$

to extract β_c^∞ , using β_c^N s obtained from the position of the maximum in the slope of U_N or from the peak in the specific heat C . The end results are shown in Table 1, where we list the model in the form ‘number of copies’ q -value, central charge c , νd , β_c estimated from U_N and β_c estimated from C . It is clear that the peak in the specific heat gives the more accurate estimates for β_c , and we use this in the finite size scaling analysis which follows.

model	c	νd	$\beta_c^\infty(U_N)$	$\beta_c^\infty(C)$
2 q2	1	3.5(3)	0.80(5)	0.79(1)
4 q2	2	3.9(4)	0.87(4)	0.82(1)
8 q2	4	3.8(4)	0.84(4)	0.84(1)
16 q2	8	3.4(5)	0.92(5)	0.88(1)
5 q3	4	2.9(2)	0.93(3)	0.91(1)
10 q3	8	3.2(5)	0.99(3)	0.96(1)
2 q4	2	2.8(2)	0.96(3)	0.95(1)
4 q4	4	2.9(2)	0.97(3)	0.96(1)
8 q4	8	2.8(1)	1.02(3)	0.99(1)

Table 1: Fitted values of νd and inverse critical temperature β_c from Binder’s cumulant U_N and specific heat C .

We have chosen this slightly non-standard approach to obtaining β_c , rather than looking for the crossing of cumulants on different sized meshes, because we found it very difficult to judge the crossing points accurately. Applying our approach to the single Ising model in [1] gave an accurate estimate of its known β_c . A comparison of the results of multiple Potts models with those for single models in [1] shows that β_c increases slowly with the number of models, but that νd stays roughly constant at a value somewhat larger than that for a single model.

We can now measure the other critical exponents either from the singular behaviour of the thermodynamic functions

$$C = B + C_0 t^{-\alpha}, \quad M = M_0 t^\beta, \quad \chi = \chi_0 t^{-\gamma}, \quad \xi = \xi_0 t^{-\nu} \quad (10)$$

knowing β_c , or from the finite-size scaling relations

$$C = B' + C'_0 N^{\frac{\alpha}{\nu d}}, \quad M = M'_0 N^{\frac{\beta}{\nu d}}, \quad \chi = \chi'_0 N^{\frac{\gamma}{\nu d}} \quad (11)$$

using the previously obtained values of νd . The results obtained for $\beta/\nu d$, $\gamma/\nu d$, γ and ν in the various models obtained from the above fits are shown in Table 2

model	c	$\beta/\nu d$	$\gamma/\nu d$	γ	ν	d
2 q2	1	0.11(1)	0.83(1)	2.4(1)	0.87(2)	2.33(4)
4 q2	2	0.08(1)	0.94(2)	3.1(2)	0.93(4)	2.75(4)
8 q2	4	0.09(1)	0.98(1)	3.3(2)	0.83(2)	2.67(4)
16 q2	8	0.08(1)	1.05(2)	2.7(1)	1.02(2)	2.59(4)
5 q3	4	0.09(1)	0.91(1)	2.2(1)	0.97(2)	2.58(3)
10 q3	8	0.05(1)	1.01(2)	2.8(2)	1.24(2)	2.56(3)
2 q4	2	0.09(1)	0.86(1)	2.0(1)	1.16(3)	2.63(3)
4 q4	4	0.11(1)	0.90(1)	1.7(1)	0.85(2)	2.52(3)
8 q4	8	0.16(1)	0.98(1)	2.9(1)	0.85(4)	2.47(3)

Table 2: Measured values of critical exponents β, γ, ν and internal fractal dimension d from $N = 2000$ graphs.

We see immediately that $\gamma/\nu d$ increases for $q = 2, 3, 4$ with the number of models, whereas $\beta/\nu d$ decreases for $q = 2, 3$ and increases for $q = 4$. However, the measurements of $\beta/\nu d$ proved to vary the most from the predicted values of KPZ for the single potts models in [1], so one should really conduct simulations on larger meshes before taking the trends in $\beta/\nu d$ at face value. In order to make a meaningful comparison of these results with those for single Potts models in [1], where $\gamma/\nu d$ *decreases* with q and $\beta/\nu d$ *increases* with q , we should look at multiple models with the *same* c . Then we see that for $c = 2$ $\gamma/\nu d$ decreases from 0.94(2) for $q = 2$ to 0.86(1) for $q = 4$, and $\beta/\nu d$ increases from 0.08(1) for $q = 2$ to 0.09(1) for $q = 4$. Similarly, for $c = 4$ as q increases from 2 to 3 to 4 $\gamma/\nu d$ decreases from 0.98(1) to 0.91(1) to 0.90(1), and $\beta/\nu d$ increases from 0.09(1) to 0.09(1) to 0.11(1). And finally for $c = 8$ $\gamma/\nu d$ decreases from 1.05(2) to 1.01(2) to 0.98(1), and $\beta/\nu d$ increases from 0.08(1) to 0.05(1) to 0.16(1). From these trends we would argue that the value of $\beta/\nu d$ for 10 q3 is a little low, but otherwise things look consistent.

The direct fits to γ are poorer than those to $\beta/\nu d$ and $\gamma/\nu d$ due to the uncertainty in β_c . In fact, if we increase β_c from the values given in the last column of Table 1 by the size of the error bar (0.1) and re-do the fits we obtain values for γ which are 0.2 lower than those given in Table 2. Therefore our values for γ can be trusted only to about 10%. These values are all larger than those obtained for single Potts models – which makes sense as γ controls the divergence of the susceptibility and obviously with more spin models this divergence will be stronger. For single Potts models [1] we know that γ decreases with q so as above we should compare our multiple models with the same c . For $c = 2$ and $c = 4$ we find decreasing values but for $c = 8$ we have $\gamma = 2.7, 2.8, 2.9$ for $q = 2, 3, 4$ respectively. We could say that this casts doubt on the fits for 10 q3 and 8 q4 but given the errors judgement should be reserved until the next generation of simulations is performed. As a consistency check we can multiply our fit values of $\gamma/\nu d$ by νd and see how close we get to the fitted values for γ . Surprisingly, almost all results are within 10%, and the two worst (16 q2 and 4 q4) only differ by 30%.

We have not attempted to fit the exponent β directly here since even for single Potts models we never managed to obtain satisfactory results for this [1].

We fitted the exponent ν from the power law divergence of the correlation length ξ at β_c . However, ξ itself must be obtained from a fit: the 2-point correlation function Γ should behave as

$$\Gamma(r) \equiv \sum \sigma_i \sigma_{i+r} = c e^{-mr}, \quad (12)$$

where $m \equiv 1/\xi$, and the sum is over some number of measurements made on each graph with the position of the spin σ_i being chosen randomly. r is the internal distance between two spins on the graph, i.e. the fewest links between them. As two fits are involved, both requiring knowledge of β_c , the results are not particularly reliable. If we re-do the fits with β_c changed to $\beta_c + 0.1$ then the sixth column of Table 2 would read instead 1.01(2), 1.11(5), 1.00(2), 1.19(2); 1.15(3), 1.55(2); 1.45(4), 1.04(2), 1.10(2). Combining both sets of fits (we do not consider fits using $\beta_c - 0.01$ since our other estimates of β_c from Binder's cumulant are always *larger* than those from the specific heat) yields our best estimates for these ν values

as 1.0(1), 1.0(1), 0.9(1), 1.1(1); 1.0(1), 1.4(1); 1.3(1), 1.0(1), 1.0(1). Thus we conclude that ν is 1 to an accuracy of 10% for most if not all the models.

As a further consistency check one can also measure the internal fractal dimension d directly, as we discuss in the next section, and see how the product of the (less accurate) individual measurements of ν and d compares with the direct determination of νd from Binder's cumulant. We can see by comparing Tables 1 and 2 that the product underestimates νd for almost all the models, but still appears to be fairly good considering the multiple fits involved.

Unfortunately, due to the extra adjustable constants (B, B') in eqs. 10,11 for the specific heat, we are unable to make unambiguous fits for α and $\alpha/\nu d$. Although we cannot fit directly for α we can use our values of νd and *assume* that the scaling relation $\alpha = 2 - \nu d$ is still valid, which gives (with the averaged value of νd for a given q) $\alpha = -1.6(4)$ for $q = 2$, $\alpha = -0.9(4)$ for $q = 3$ and $\alpha = -0.8(2)$ for $q = 4$. We thus see that this gives third order transitions for all q , which are becoming weaker with increasing q . These results should be compared with the single Potts models where we have (analytically) $\alpha = -1$ for $q = 2$, $\alpha = -0.5$ for $q = 3$ and $\alpha = 0$ for $q = 4$. Adding multiple copies at a given q thus appears to make the third order transitions stronger for $q = 2, 3$ and change the borderline $q = 4$ case from logarithmic second order to third order. In addition, visual inspection of the graphs of specific heat for various N suggests that they are not diverging with N , as one might expect for a higher than second order transition. We can, of course, rule out a first order transition because we do not observe any discontinuities in the energy or magnetization.

3 Graph Properties

We are particularly interested in the properties of the graphs when $c > 1$ to see if there are any indications of pathological behaviour as the spin models themselves, by the evidence of the preceding section, seem remarkably well behaved. We measure the acceptance rate for the Metropolis flip move to confirm that our graphs are really dynamical. The flip can be forbidden either from the graph constraints coming from the detailed balance condition or from the energy change of the spin model, so we can decompose the flip acceptance rate into two parts: AL – the fraction of randomly selected links which can be flipped satisfying the graph constraints; and AF – the fraction of links satisfying the graph constraints which are actually flipped, i.e. pass the Metropolis test using the Potts model energy change. AF and AL are shown for the 2000-node simulations versus the reduced temperature t in Figs. 2 and 3 respectively. These are interesting because they show that the dynamical properties of the phi-cubed graphs are apparently determined by the central charge of the models that live on them, even for values of the reduced temperature t some distance from the critical point. The various spin models group into three separate curves determined by the value of their central charges, $c = 2, 4, 8$. The characteristic dip in the flip acceptances away from the phase transition that is present in the single Potts models and dynamically triangulated random surface models near the crumpling transition is clearly visible. For a given q the position of the dips stay roughly fixed as the number of models is increased, though they do appear to edge slightly closer to $t = 0$ as q is increased.

We can also examine the distribution of ring lengths in the graph, which is the discrete equivalent of measuring the distribution of local Gaussian curvatures in the continuum. For pure quantum gravity (no spin model living on the graph) it is possible to analytically calculate this [18]. The probability P of finding a ring of length l is given by

$$P_{N \rightarrow \infty}(l) = 16 \left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^l \frac{(l-2)(2l-2)!}{l!(l-1)!} \quad (13)$$

which decays exponentially as l increases. The minimum possible ring length is 3. If we plot the fraction of rings of length three (PR3) in Fig. 4 and compare with AF and AL (Figs. 2 and 3) we see that it has a peak very close to the dip in AL. This is reasonable since both PR3 and AL depend only on the graph, whereas AF depends on the Potts model. The suggestion made in [1], namely that the peak in PR3 approached β_c from below as $c \rightarrow 1$, is not therefore borne out by our further simulations of multiple models. Just as for the flip acceptances, the β values of the peaks stay roughly fixed *for a given q* as the number of models is increased, though they get slightly closer to $t = 0$ with increasing q . It is also obvious from Fig. 4 that the PR3 curves split into three again for $c = 2, 4, 8$ for all the plotted values of t , in spite of the small shift in the peak positions with q .

It is also interesting to examine the *height* of the peaks in PR3 (i.e. maximum values of PR3) and the values of PR3 at the critical points as a function of c . If we plot the difference in the fraction of rings of length three at the critical point β_c from the pure gravity fraction (eq. 13 with $l = 3$) against the central charge we find a straight line with slope 0.004(1) and intercept 0.004(1). We can also plot a difference using the peak height in the fraction of rings of length three against the central charge to obtain another straight line with slope 0.014(1) which passes through the origin. This peak does not occur at β_c so the correlation length is not infinite and we cannot expect the results of conformal field theory to apply there, but a linear relation with c persists. The fits are shown with the data in Fig. 5. This should be compared with a plot of similar data for smaller c values in Fig. 7 of [1], which agrees with the data here in that range.

We complete our discussion of the graph properties by measuring their internal fractal dimension d . We use the most naive definition of distance (the fewest links between two nodes) so we are considering the “mathematical geometry” rather than the “physical geometry” in the terminology of [19]². We measured the internal fractal dimension at the critical values of β for all of our models on the $N = 500, 1000, 2000$ node graphs and then extrapolated the results to graphs of infinite size obtaining the values listed in the last column of Table 2. From these we can see that the internal fractal dimension varies little, if at all, with c and q and all are fairly close to the value of approximately 2.8 that we found in [1] for single Potts models. It thus appears that although the curvature distribution may be becoming more singular (slowly) with increasing c , as is witnessed by the increase in PR3, the fractal dimension is changing very little.

4 Conclusions

We have simulated multiple copies of $q = 2, 3, 4$ state Potts models on dynamical phi-cubed graphs all of which have, at least naively, $c \geq 1$. We have no evidence for a sudden change in behaviour in the spin models as c is increased through 1, but instead found only a gradual change in the critical exponents we have measured. The idea that a first order transition could explain the breakdown of the KPZ/DDK results for multiple Potts models with $c > 1$, as it does for $q > 4$ Potts models does not appear to be supported by our simulations in which the multiple Potts copies behave much as their single brethren do. That the spin models *do* interact with each other is clear from the change in the exponents and critical temperatures from those of the single models. The properties of the phi-cubed graphs such as the flip acceptances and the fraction of rings of length three which vary with the number of models also bear this out, and indicate that the central charge alone determines the internal geometry, even for $c > 1$.

It is possible that the failure of the KPZ/DDK results for $c > 1$ is merely a breakdown of the ansatz rather than an indication of a completely new phase. Suggestions to this effect have been made in [21] where an extended ansatz involving the coupling of the diffeomorphism ghosts to the Liouville theory was suggested. It would be most interesting to explore some of the suggestions made for pinning down the parameters of the ansatz in [21] to see if it is possible to calculate any of the exponents measured here and to reproduce their slight variation with c . On the numerical side it would obviously be interesting to extend the work in the current paper to larger meshes and better statistics, in particular to attempt to obtain values for α or $\alpha/\nu d$ directly, rather than using the scaling relation. It would also be a worthwhile exercise to measure the string susceptibility γ_{str} for our multiple Potts models, as this is one of the easiest exponents to calculate analytically.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by NATO collaborative research grant CRG910091. CFB is supported by DOE under contract DE-AC02-86ER40253 and by AFOSR Grant AFOSR-89-0422. We would like to thank M.E. Agishtein and A.A. Migdal for providing us with initial graphs generated by their two-dimensional quantum gravity code.

²It now appears that the two are, in fact, identical [20].

References

- [1] C.F. Baillie and D.A. Johnston, “A Numerical Test of KPZ Scaling: Potts Models Coupled to Two-Dimensional Quantum Gravity”, Colorado preprint COLO-HEP-269 (December 1991).
- [2] V.G. Knizhnik, A.M. Polyakov and A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A3** (1988) 819.
- [3] F. David, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A3** (1988) 1651;
J. Distler and H. Kawai, *Nucl. Phys.* **B321** (1989) 509.
- [4] J. Ambjorn, B. Durhuus and J. Fröhlich, *Nucl. Phys.* **B257** (1985) 433;
D. Boulatov, V. Kazakov, I. Kostov and A. Migdal, *Nucl. Phys.* **B275** (1986) 241;
A. Billoire and F. David, *Nucl. Phys.* **B275** (1986) 617;
J. Jurkiewicz, A. Krzywicki and B. Petersson, *Phys. Lett.* **B168** (1986) 273.
- [5] A. M. Polyakov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B268** (1986) 406;
S. Catterall, *Phys. Lett.* **B220** (1989) 207;
C. F. Baillie, D. A. Johnston and R. D. Williams, *Nucl. Phys.* **B335** (1990) 469;
R. Renken and J. Kogut, *Nucl. Phys.* **B354** (1991) 328;
S. Catterall, *Phys. Lett.* **B243** (1990) 121;
C. F. Baillie, R. D. Williams, S. M. Catterall and D. A. Johnston, *Nucl. Phys.* **B348** (1991) 543;
J. Ambjorn, J. Jurkiewicz, S. Varsted, A. Irback and B. Petersson, “Critical properties of the dynamical random surface with extrinsic curvature”, Niels Bohr preprint NBI-HE-91-14 (May 1991).
- [6] R. V. Ambartzumian, G. K. Savvidy, K. G. Savvidy and G. S. Sukiasian, “The Alternative Model of Random Surfaces”, Frankfurt preprint 1991, to appear in *Phys. Lett. B*;
C.F. Baillie and D.A. Johnston, “A Modified Steiner Functional String Action”, Colorado preprint COLO-HEP-272 (February 1992).
- [7] M. Cates, *Europhys. Lett.* **8** (1988) 719;
B. Ovrut and S. Thomas, *Phys. Lett.* **257B** (1991) 298.
- [8] V.A. Kazakov, *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)* **4** (1988) 93.
- [9] J. Jurkiewicz, A. Krzywicki, B. Petersson and B. Soderberg, *Phys. Lett.* **B213** (1988) 511.
- [10] R. Ben-Av, J. Kinar and S. Solomon, *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)* **20** (1991) 711.
- [11] S.M. Catterall, J.B. Kogut and R.L. Renken, “Scaling Behaviour of the Ising Model Coupled to Two-Dimensional Gravity” Illinois preprint ILL-(TH)-91-19 (1991).
- [12] V.A. Kazakov, *Phys. Lett.* **A119** (1986) 140;
D.V. Boulatov and V.A. Kazakov, *Phys. Lett.* **B186** (1987) 379;
Z. Burda and J. Jurkiewicz, *Acta Physica Polonica* **B20** (1989) 949.
- [13] P. Ginsparg, “Applied Conformal Field Theory”, lectures at Les Houches June-August 1988.
- [14] C. Itzykson and J-M. Drouffe, “Statistical Field Theory”, Cambridge University Press 1989.
- [15] U. Wolff, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62** (1989) 361.
- [16] R.H. Swendsen and J.-S. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58** (1987) 86.
- [17] K. Binder, *Z. Phys.* **B43** (1981) 119;
M.S.S. Challa, D.P. Landau and K. Binder, *Phys. Rev.* **B34** (1986) 1841.
- [18] D.V. Boulatov, V.A. Kazakov, I.K. Kostov and A.A. Migdal, *Nucl. Phys.* **B275** (1986) 641.
- [19] M.E. Agishtein and A.A. Migdal, “Simulations of Four Dimensional Simplicial Quantum Gravity”, Princeton preprint PUPT-1287 (October 1991).
- [20] T. Filk, “Equivalence of Massive Propagator Distance and Mathematical Distance on Graphs”, Freiburg preprint THEP 92/2 (January 1992).

- [21] J.D. Cohn and V. Periwal, Phys. Lett. **B270** (1991) 18;
M. Martellini, M. Spreafico and K. Yoshida, “A Continuum String Model for $D > 1$ ”, I.N.F.N. preprint 853 (1992).

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Fits to maximum slope of derivative of Binder’s cumulant versus N to extract νd for a) $q=2$, b) $q=3$ and c) $q=4$.

Fig. 2. Flip acceptance AF as function of reduced temperature t for all q .

Fig. 3. Flip acceptance AL as function of reduced temperature t for all q .

Fig. 4. Probabilities of rings of length three PR3 as function of reduced temperature t for all q .

Fig. 5. Difference in PR3 at β_c , and at its peak, from the pure quantum gravity value versus the central charge c , for multiple Potts models.